

ration of the Jews and Second Advent of Christ, by the Rev. W. Stone, M.A. (Mackintosh).—*The Monthly Packet of Evening Readings for Members of the English Church*, New Series, Vol. VII. (Mozley). New Editions of *Thoughts on Men and Things*: a Series of Essays, by Angelina Gushington (Rivingtons).—*Katharina, her Life and Mine, in a Poem*, by J. G. Holland (Low). Also the following Pamphlets: *The Reformation of the Church of England, A.D. 1514—1547*: a Review, reprinted by permission from 'The Times' of February 27 and March 21, 1869 (Rivingtons).—*The Disestablishment and Disendowment of the United Church considered*, by James Thomas O'Brien, D.D., Part II., *Reasons For and Against*, (Rivingtons).—*The Twofold Debt of the Clergy*: a Sermon preached at the General Ordination in Wells Cathedral, on Trinity Sunday, May 23, 1869, by R. W. Church, M.A. (Parker).—*The Functions of our Cathedrals*: a Letter in Answer to an Inquiry addressed to Deans of Cathedral Churches, by the Lord Archbishop of Canterbury and the Lord Archbishop of York; by Edward Meyrick Goulburn, D.C.L. (Parker).—*Denominational Education*: a Pastoral Letter to the Clergy and Laity of the Diocese of Westminster (Burns).—and *Ellerton Theological Prize Essay: Slavery as affected by Christianity*, by Edward S. Talbot, M.A. (Rivingtons).

LIST OF NEW BOOKS.

Babington's (C. C.) *The British Rubi*, 12mo. 5/6 cl.
 Box's (T.) *Treatise on Mill-Gearing, Wheels, &c.* cr. 8vo. 5/6 cl.
 Bradwood's (Wat) *O. V. H.*, 3 vols. cr. 8vo. 3/6 cl.
 Brett's (E.) *Notes on Yachts*, 1st Series, 12mo. 6/6 cl.
 Brown's (C. P.) *Sanskrit Prosody*, cr. 8vo. 3/6 cl.
 Brown's (Rev. T. W.) *Early Called, Memoir of Rev. W. Deans*, 3/6
 Burgh's (N. P.) *Modern Screw Propulsion*, 4to. 42/ hf.-bd.
 Burgh's *Modern Screw Propellers Considered*, 8vo. 2/6 bd.
 Clark's (Sir J.) *Memoir of John Conolly*, post 8vo. 10/6 cl.
 Courtope's (W. J.) *Ludibria Luna*, cr. 8vo. 6/6 cl.
 Devereux's (W. C.) *A Cruise in the Gorgon*, cr. 8vo. 10/6 cl.
 Field's (Rev. B.) *Student's Handbook of Christian Theology*, 5/6 cl.
 Gwynne's (Capt. N. X.) *Moses, an Essay*, 12mo. 5/6 cl.
 Hayman's (Rev. S.) *About Footsteps*, cr. 8vo. 3/6 cl.
 Herbert's (Shirley) *Pera Garden*, &c. cr. 8vo. 3/6 cl.
 Little Hours of the Day, cr. 8vo. 3/6 cl.
 M'Beth's (S.) *Seed Scattered Broadcast*, 12mo. 3/6 cl.
 McCulloch's *Dictionary of Commerce and Navigation*, 8vo. 63/6 cl.
 M'Crea's (Lieut.-Col.) *Lost amid the Fogs*, cr. 8vo. 10/6 cl.
 Nuttall's *Dictionary of Scientific Terms*, cr. 8vo. 5/6 cl.
 Paton's (C. J.) *Marriage with a Deceased Brother's Wife*, cr. 8vo. 3/6
 Pim and Seaman's *Dottings on the Roadside in Panama*, &c. 18/ cl.
 Ruskin's (John) *The Queen of the Air*, cr. 8vo. 6/6 cl.
 Saunders and Reichenbach's *Refugium Botanicum*, Vol. 1, 25/
 Serjeant's (J. F.) *Sunday Echoes from Paris*, cr. 8vo. 3/6 cl.
 Sketches of the Scenery and the Country, by Philz., obl. folio, 5/
 Smith's (T.) *Handbook of Iron Ship-Building*, cr. 8vo. 7/6 cl.
 Spott's *Dictionary of Engineering, Division I*, 2vo. 13/6 cl.
 Stirling's (C. E.) *Twice Refused*, 2 vols. cr. 8vo. 21/ cl.
 Stonebeach, by S. O. A., cr. 8vo. 6/
 Strangford (Viscount), *Selections from Writings*, 2 vols. 21/ cl.
 Terence, with English Notes, by Rev. J. Davies, 12mo. 6/6 cl.
 Unwin's (C.) *Wrought Iron Bridges and Roofs*, 8vo. 12/6 cl.

ORIGIN OF SPECIES.

Caeleion, North Wales, June 19, 1869.

I am much obliged to your Correspondent of June 5 for having pointed out a great error in my 'Origin of Species,' on the possible rate of increase of the elephant. I inquired from the late Dr. Falconer with respect to the age of breeding, &c., and understated the data obtained from him, with the intention, vain as it has proved, of not exaggerating the result. Finding that the calculation was difficult, I applied to a good arithmetician; but he did not know any formula by which a result could easily be obtained; and he now informs me that I then applied to some Cambridge mathematician. Who this was I cannot remember, and therefore cannot find out how the error arose. From the many familiar instances of rapid geometrical increase, I confess that, if the answer had been thirty or sixty million elephants, I should not have felt much surprise; but I ought not to have relied so implicitly on my mathematical friend. I have misled your Correspondent by using language which implies that the elephant produces a pair of young at each birth; but the calculation by this assumption is rendered easier and the result but little different. A friend has extended your Correspondent's calculation to a further period of years. Commencing with a pair of elephants, at the age of thirty, and assuming that they would in each generation survive ten years after the last period of breeding—namely, when ninety years old—there would be, after a period of 750 to 760 years (instead of after 500 years, as I stated in 'The Origin of Species'), considerably more than fifteen million elephants alive, namely, 18,803,080.

At the next succeeding period of 780 to 790 years there would be alive no less than 34,584,256 elephants.

CHARLES DARWIN.

CORRECTIONS IN CHAUCER.

June 19, 1869.

1. "Come, kiss me" for *compane*, in 'The Miller's Tale,' l. 3709, does not suit the context. Absolon, finding his application refused, resorts to kissing as an alternative. In l. 3716 he says, "than kisse me." Clearly Chaucer would not put this request in Absolon's mouth, if Alison had just said, in plain words, "it wol not be, come, kiss me." The sense of the passage is fully met by *compain*=gossip, a form of the French *compère*; but it does not rhyme with "blame." Rhyme and reason may, however, both be preserved by inserting a *y*. Thus, "come, pay me!" The word *pay* is frequently used by Chaucer in the sense of pleasing.

2. The word *squamous*, in the same tale (l. 3337) has often been queried. It is the Latin word *squameus*. In cant phrase, Absolon was decidedly scaly on that point.

3. "Palmyra" for *Belmarie*, l. 57, is not clear. Froissart, according to Tyrwhitt, mentions a *Belle-marine* in Africa. Did the Crusaders ever penetrate to Palmyra?
 A. HALL.

THE ROYAL SOCIETY ELECTION.

June 22, 1869.

"F.R.S." in last week's *Athenæum* has raised an important question,—one that should be of paramount interest to all who write the honourable initials after their name. But has he saddled the right horse? In examining whether he has or not, a view of the question is opened which as it appears to me, and to those who think with me, deserves serious consideration.

It is easy to assert that the Council of the Society fail in their duty; but do we—whose names are signalled by "F.R.S." wherever science shows her face—do we, I ask, do our duty? Is it not notorious that we shirk our share of responsibility? that having elected a Council to work for us in November, we take no further trouble until November comes again, and in the interval we throw upon them the responsibility for everything that takes place within the Society? Why complain that the Council select unworthy candidates for election, when we ourselves take no pains to keep unworthy candidates from coming before them? How many—or how few—are there among us who have the courage to refuse to sign a certificate? "I want to get Littlewit into the Society," says some unfortunate member of our fraternity, "will you sign his certificate?" We would rather not sign. We know that Littlewit is not worthy to enter our ancient corporation, but we don't like to say no; and so we sign, and then endeavour to stifle self-reproach by "trusting that the Council will keep him out." But the Council is composed of twenty-one individuals, and among them Littlewit may have a friend or two, who can, perhaps, persuade one or two more, and so turn the scale in his favour at the ballot. A case in point occurred in the last "selection" and "election," and I can easily imagine that the majority of the Council felt as much surprise at that result as we did who are outsiders.

Now, is it not clear that this could not happen if we, the Fellows of the Society, were as jealous as we ought to be of the Society's reputation? Is it honourable to us individually or collectively that a list containing from forty-five to sixty names of men ambitious of the "F.R.S." should be circulated among us year after year, when we know that perhaps half of those names ought never to have appeared, and would not have appeared had we done our duty? How much trouble would be saved to all concerned if that hopeless half of the names could only be got rid of!

Having thus endeavoured to show how the mischief may be avoided, I should like to say a few words on the means of mitigating or obviating it when imminent. "What can the Fellows do?" asks "F.R.S." and immediately he replies that we can do nothing, because to do the right thing would "seem invidious." If it is better that the reputation of the world-renowned Society should suffer than that something should be done which "seems

invidious," then there is an end of the argument; but my answer to What can the Fellows do? is, they can do everything. We are a democracy, with power, every St. Andrew's day, to overturn our government, and elect whomsoever we please. And at the meeting for election of Fellows in June it would be easy for us to rectify any mistake in the Council's selection, by striking out Littlewit and putting in the name of a better man. Whether invidious or not, is beside the question; for in so doing we are but exercising an unquestionable right. We, the Fellows, have the right and the power to elect twenty, thirty, or the whole list of candidates, should our occasions or our pleasure lead us so to do. But the limitation of the number annually chosen to fifteen has worked so well for the Society, that we shall hardly be persuaded to abandon so valuable a safeguard. Whether it shall continue to be a safeguard, in the most literal sense of the term, depends on ourselves.
 S. R. F.

THE SHEPHERD-KINGS.

Bekesbourne, June 19, 1869.

The distinction between the Mitzraim of sacred history and the Egypt of profane history for which I contend, and which the discoveries of Mariette Bey, now brought to public notice by Prof. Owen, go so far to prove, is not made by me to depend on the mere use or disuse of the horse or dromedary ("camel") in the one country or the other at any particular period, as I understand my friend, Mr. Hyde Clarke, to imply, but is a fact established, as far as it can be established on negative evidence, by the entire absence of all signs of the existence of those animals in the latter country till a comparatively late period, whereas they are proved by the early history of the Israelites to have been well known in Mitzraim, which country I identify with that of the Hyksos or Shepherd-Kings of Manetho.

To this identification, the objection has, however, been raised that in Genesis xlv. 34, it is said, "Every shepherd is an abomination unto the Mitrites," which objection would be conclusive, were it not that the word "abomination," used in this and other passages in the Pentateuch, is a mis-translation of the Hebrew word *to'ebah* (*to'ebah*), as may be thus satisfactorily shown.

The word in question is derived from the root *ra'ab* (*ra'ab*), of which Gesenius says in his Lexicon (edit. Robinson, 1855), "the primary idea seems to be to thrust forth or away, to drive away, and hence to reject, to abhor, to abominate"; comparing it, however, with *ra'ab* (*ra'ab*), to which he gives the double meaning of "to desire, to long after," and "to abominate, to abhor."

Now, I conceive that the two roots are, in fact, identical,—the guttural *y* in the one being softened into *n* in the other,—and that their primary meaning is not to thrust forth or away in a bad sense alone, but indefinitely, to put away or aside, to set apart, to separate, either in a good or in a bad sense, and hence to dedicate or consecrate,—and this, too, either for a good or for a bad purpose, as is so remarkably the case with the root *kip*.

The Greek *αβαθεμα*, the Latin *sacer*, the French *sacré*, and even the English *sacred* and *devoted*, have all this double meaning and application. These last two words are thus used together in a bad sense by Milton:—

But to destruction sacred and devote.
Paradise Lost, iii. 208.

Consequently, the primary meaning of the Hebrew noun-substantive *to'ebah* is "a person or thing set apart"; belonging to a distinct class, and thus appropriated or dedicated to some special purpose, religious or otherwise, either in a good or in a bad sense. The *taboo* of the South Sea islanders offers an exact parallel. It is *taboo* for the two sexes to eat together, just as it was *to'ebah* for the Mitrites to eat with strangers (Genesis xliii. 32); and in like manner many persons, animals and things are *taboo*, as shepherds and goatherds and their flocks were *to'ebah*.

When, therefore, Joseph told his brethren to say to Pharaoh, "Thy servants' trade hath been about cattle," he did so, not because every shepherd was "an abomination" unto the Mitrites, which would have been an absurdity, but because shepherds